

I find fundamental disagreement points with the entire proposed action plan. Already our electric infrastructure is aged and fragile. Local generating facilities have been shut down leaving even more dependence on already over taxed transmission lines. No actions should be planned until all the issues with regenerating the electrical infrastructure have been resolved. To force by legislative action any transition in power sources will result in over burdened utilities and hardships in housing and economic sectors. It is the epitome of absurdity to propose that such drastic changes to such a complex and multifaceted issue can be scheduled and enacted by committee. Forces of supply/demand, economic limitations, public adaptation, and corporate action on infrastructure will be stressed beyond their ability to adapt if not given time to contract naturally in response to market forces. I personally know there is also a dire shortage in trained technicians to facilitate installing and repair of more complex home heating systems. Perhaps I missed it somewhere but I saw no educational plans to insure adequate support workers to make your plan happen. There is no reason to look to future work if you have not developed a workable plan to begin a transition. Much more is required than simply a time flow chart of desired accomplishment points. On a personal note; what ever happened to leading by example? Have our state leaders lost the ability to lead? Is forced legislative action the only feasible approaches that can be offered? Has any consideration been given to public pushback upon supposed implementation of this plan? It is unbelievable that a governing body in a free republic should take it upon themselves to think to enact such a level of changes in such a dictatorial fashion.